G.R.S. IX-5]

Previous references

192 2a
(oral

File No. bg — 22

Serial Nos. 1742 3

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Part B for two

SUBJECT

Alterations in the rules regulating the conduct of business of meetings of the Bangalore city Munl Council.

Sl-17) From the President, Munl. council, B'lore
(No G.O 36 dt 14-9-22)

Suggests the desirability of omitting, the clause 2 (b) of the rules regulating the conduct of business of meetings of Munl. council.

order no L 3603 dt 15-11-22

Sl-231 Abstract of the Suggestion

Printed on the Multigraph.

1458

G R. S 11) 1922

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Mel)

NOTES

File No 4922

FILE HEADING

Clearances Alterations in the rules regulating the conduct of business at meetings of the Bellary City Municipal

From The President City Municipal Council Bellary

No. 4736 Dated 13/9/22 Received 14/9

Diary No 1458 Enclos Spare Copies Serial No. 17

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Requesting sanction of Govt for the amendment of clause 2(b) of the rules regulating conduct of business & proceedings of the meeting of the Bellary City Municipal Councils

NOTES AND ORDERS

Instead of omitting altogether the word of the clause may perhaps be substituted in accordance with clause 4 of section 24 chapter of the Municipal Regulation

PRS 15/1 Mel

Draft approving the proposal may be put up

NOTES—First Sheet

Draft submitted for approval

DCS 19/9/22

DCS 19/9/22

DHS 18/9 ✓ (Rev Secy)

1458

G R. S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 1 of 19 22

Serial No

What are the Rules on this point in Madras and Bombay? 14/5/22

Secy

The rules in Madras & Bombay are below.

Madras — If, within half an hour after the time appointed for a meeting a quorum is not present, the meeting shall stand adjourned, unless all the members present agree to wait [Madras Act]

Bombay - When a quorum is not proceedings shall commence at the fixed for a meeting, where a quorum is required proceedings shall commence as soon after the hour fixed as there quorum present, but if after the of 15 minutes there is still no the presiding authority, appointed if necessary for this purpose under Sec 26(5) of the Act shall adjourn the meeting

P 60

(Govt rules under Bombay DC Act page 8)

It may be seen from the above that no such restriction as is now in force in B bay either in Madras or Bombay

PA 13/10 InC

Rev Secy)

Secy 14/10/22

NOTE—Contn sheet.

R. S. III 2]

20240

1457

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 61 of 19

Serial No

The Executive Secretary
that the meeting shall stand adjourned
to that day week at the same time
and place may be requested as
suggested by the President
(Council Member) 21 15/10/22

2358
17/10/22

A Rule in mb should be one
30 Minutes can be extended in the rule
does not mean the maximum beyond which
the Govt Advocate may be
executed -

Law
22/10/22
1423.W

21.032-22

Forwarded, with compliments, to
the Govt Advocate in Mysore for favour
of opinion

By Order,
K.C. Subbarayappa,
for Rev Secy to Govt

23/10/22

I agree with the Pres.
of the Bangalore City Municipal
Council that the rule in q
is inconsistent with Clause 1 -
Section 26 of the Mysore Regulation
Act

JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT

NEW
Law
CT 1922

21/12/22-23
23/10/22

NOTE—Contn sheet

1458

G R. S III 2,

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 19

Serial No

Which leaves it to the discretion of the President to fix a time and place convenient to all concerned. As the subsection in question is itself very clear the rule appears to be another unnecessary, besides being opposed to the spirit of that subsection

W Shanbeeccd
Col Adjt
23/10/22

Draft sanctioning the proposal of the President resubmitted for approval

25/5/22
26/5/22

2nd Member)

DCS 25/10/22

Draft-Attd
[illegible]
12/11

Dy 13/11/22

Issue
DCS
13/11/22

To the President
Cab Mtl, Bangalore
No L 3608 dy 16 11 22

Sanction the proposal

B

NOTE—Contn sheet

5

17466 OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

No 5-7a 36
Cir one

Bangalore City dated 9th September 1922
13

Jul 69-22-17

RECEIVED 458-22
LOCAL & F & T BRANCH

From

ANANDA RAO SIRSI, ESQ B A LL B
President Municipal Council
BANGALORE CITY

13 SEP 1922

To

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue ~~Legislative~~ Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to request you to be so good as to obtain the sanction of the Government of His Highness the Maharajah for the omission of clause 2 (b) of the Rules regulating the conduct of business and proceedings of the meetings of the Bangalore City Municipal Councils, for the following reasons

2 The clause runs thus "If at the hour fixed for the meeting a quorum be not present, the presiding authority shall allow 30 minutes to elapse ~~hereof~~ before he adjourns the meeting and if 30 minutes from the hour fixed for the meeting elapse without the ~~attend~~ assembling of a quorum the meeting shall stand adjourned to that day week at the same time and place"

3 Under section 46 para 1 of the Municipal Regulation the Municipal Council can make or alter rules but not so as to render them inconsistent with the Regulation The above clause is wholly inconsistent with clause (7) of section 26 chapter III - conduct of business - Municipal meetings Municipal Regulation No VII of 1906 which runs thus, "If in the case of a City Municipal Council less than one-third and in the case of any other Municipal Council less than one-half of the whole number of Councillors be present at a meeting at any time from the beginning to the end thereof the presiding authority shall adjourn the meeting to such hour on the following or some other future

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No _____
No

Enclosure No

day as he may reasonably fix"

4 Thus the clause quoted in para 2 supra takes away fro the President the discretion vested in him by the Regulation to adjourn the meeting to the next day or any other convenient day The obligation to adjourn the meeting to "that day week" is made mandatory That day week may be a holiday or may be inconvenien owing to other causes The business may be of an urgent nature and may not brook the delay of 7 days The very next day or any other day within the next 7 days may be suitable for the holding of the meeting In short, the section of the Regulation is so plain and clear it does not admit of any rule being framed there under Therefore the clause referred to above has to be cancelled

5 The City Municipal Council at their meeting held on 2nd September 1922 have also approved of a recommendation being submitted to Government in the matter But apart from this, no rules framed under the Regulation can ever over-ride the plain injunctions of the Regulation and interfere with a power vested in the Presiding Officer Therefore I request that the necessary orders may be issued by Government as early as possible

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant,

Copy of Council's resolution enclosed

President,
City Municipal Council
Bangalore

No. 1.

Proceedings of an Ordinary General Meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, held in the Council Chamber at 8 A M on Saturday the 2nd September 1922

---oOo---

PRESENT

1. Ananda Rao Sirsi, Esq., B A, LL B, (President)

2 B Abdur Rahmon, Esq., B A, (Vice President)

COUNCILLORS

C V Narasimha Iyengar, B A, B L	10 Mr G Venkatachala Iyengar, B A
B Chinnaswamy Chetty	11 " C Vijayavadana Rao, B A,
M Ramachandra Rao Naidu	12 " W Abbas Khan B L,
Nyakala Ellayya	13 " P Basappa
G.Siddaveerappa	14 " H Krishnappa, B A
P V Ramaswami Chetty B A,	15 " C Chandrasekharaiya, B A, B L
M Subbaiyya, B A,	16 " P Subbarama Chetty LL B
R.Gopalaswamy Iyer	17 " R Isaac B A, B L,
S.Venkataranga Iyengar	18 " T C Narasinga Rao
19. Mr V S Tiruvengalaswamy Mudaliar	

APSENT

H Hanumanthappa	5 Mr G Venkataramana Iyer, B A,
D.V Krishna Iyengar	6 " T Raghavendra Rao
D.K Garudachar	7 Rao Sahib Mr L Chennaiya
D.Adeppa	8 Mr P Murugesam Pillai

Vacant--one

X X

X X

Subject No 5 D

Amendment of Rule 2 Clause (b) of the rules regulating the conduct of business and proceedings at the City Municipal Council as approved by the Bye-Law Committee dated 15th July 1922

RESOLUTION -Approved

X X

X X

Sd/ Ananda Rao Sirsi
President

"C O P Y"

President
City Municipal Council
Bangalore

8

G.B.S. VI G 34]

BJECT

Diary No of letter replied to 1457

Section Mnl
File No 69-2
Serial No 13

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 15/11/22

Signed by Mr DCS

Read—

Letter No 9369 dt 13.4.22 from the Presd City Muncl Cl B Lore requesting the sanction of Govt for the omission of clause 2(b) of the rules regulating the Conduct of business & proceedings of the Order Thereon &c

of the Bangalore City Municipal Council as it is at present with clause (7) of section 26 chap III of the Muncl Regulation VII of 18 & submitting a copy of the proceedings of the Council in the matter

Comments &c) IT

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

9 [illegible]

To

Contract 4360/3. 15/4/22

Part one please

to direct the Engineer in the cir-

cumstances represented in

clause 2(b) of the rules referred

to above the delay

the Period which this Agreement

is Approved

Yours faithfully

for The President of the Port Trust

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 3[illegible]—G[illegible]—1,000,0—[illegible]

File No.

Serial Nos.

190 .

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

Part A Manuscript
for

Serial Nos.